

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA . SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

United States of America,) Case No. CR 15-533 RS
Plaintiff, v.) STIPULATED ORDER EXELUTING TWE) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
) NOV 18 2015
Cary Collins Defendant.	SUSAN Y. SOONG CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFOKNIA
by the continuance outweigh the best interest of	ord on Nov. 18, 2015, the Court excludes time under the 20 Dec. 8, 2015 and finds that the ends of justice served of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § and bases this continuance on the following factor(s):
Failure to grant a continuance w See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i	yould be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of or law, that it is unreasonable to	inplex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial blished by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	yould deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	yould unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. iv).
	yould unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time ion, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. iv).
IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: 1 / 10/	LAUREL BEELER United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendan	Assistant United States Attorney